

Lagrangian isotopies and symplectic function theory

Michael Entov¹, Yaniv Ganor², Cedric Membrez³

November 1, 2016

Abstract

We study two related invariants of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic manifolds. For a Lagrangian torus these invariants are functions on the first cohomology of the torus.

The first invariant is of topological nature and is related to the study of Lagrangian isotopies with a given Lagrangian flux. More specifically, it measures the length of straight paths in the first cohomology that can be realized as the Lagrangian flux of a Lagrangian isotopy.

The second invariant is of analytical nature and comes from symplectic function theory. It is defined for Lagrangian submanifolds admitting fibrations over a circle and has a dynamical interpretation.

We partially compute these invariants for certain Lagrangian tori.

¹Partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant # 1096/14.

²Partially supported by European Research Council Advanced grant 338809.

³Partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant 155540 and the European Research Council Advanced grant 338809.

Contents

1	Introduction and main results	3
1.1	The invariant bp_L for Lagrangian submanifolds fibered over $\mathbb{S}^1$	6
1.1.1	Poisson bracket invariants	6
1.1.2	The invariant bp_L for general Lagrangian submanifolds	7
1.1.3	The invariant bp_L for Lagrangian tori	10
1.2	Results about def_L and bp_L	11
1.2.1	Symplectic manifolds without weakly exact Lagrangian submanifolds	11
1.2.2	Lagrangian tori in symplectic surfaces	12
1.2.3	Toric orbits in symplectic toric manifolds	13
1.2.4	Lagrangian tori in symplectic vector spaces	14
1.2.5	Lagrangian tori in complex projective spaces	20
1.2.6	Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$	22
1.3	Discussion and open questions	23
2	Properties of bp_L and def_L	25
2.1	Proof of Proposition 1.5	25
2.2	Proof of Theorem 1.7	25
2.3	General properties of bp_L and def_L	27
3	Lagrangian tori in symplectic surfaces	32
4	Toric orbits in symplectic toric manifolds	35
5	Split Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^n$	37
5.1	Proof of Proposition 1.14	37
5.2	Proof of Theorem 1.15	37
6	Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$	40
6.1	Proof of Theorem 1.16	40
6.2	Proof of Theorem 1.19	41
7	Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$	46
7.1	Proof of Theorem 1.20	46
7.2	Proof of Theorem 1.23	46

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper we study two related invariants of Lagrangian submanifolds which are invariant under symplectomorphisms of the ambient symplectic manifolds.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $L \subset (M, \omega)$ a closed Lagrangian submanifold. Let $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ denote here and further on the natural inclusion of L in M .

The first invariant of L comes from the consideration of Lagrangian isotopies of L with a given Lagrangian flux path in $H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$. Namely, recall that if $\psi := \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow M\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $\psi_0 = \iota$, is a Lagrangian isotopy, one can associate to it a *Lagrangian flux path*

$$\{Flux(\psi)_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \subset H^1(L; \mathbb{R}), \quad Flux(\psi)_0 = 0,$$

as follows: given a closed curve $C \subset L$ and $t \in [0, T]$, consider the trace of C under the Lagrangian isotopy $\{\psi_\tau : L \rightarrow M\}_{0 \leq \tau \leq t}$ and integrate ω over the resulting surface. The resulting (real) number depends only on the homology class of C in $H_1(L)$ (see [27]). The numbers obtained for all C in this way are the periods of a uniquely defined *Lagrangian flux class* $Flux(\psi)_t \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$.

The notion of Lagrangian flux immediately raises the following question.

Question 1.1. *Which paths in $H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ based at 0 have the form $\{Flux(\psi)_t\}$ for some Lagrangian isotopy ψ of L ?*

In general, this seems to be a very difficult question. In this paper we investigate its weaker version:

Question 1.2. *Which **straight** paths in $H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ based at 0 have the form $\{Flux(\psi)_t\}$ for some Lagrangian isotopy ψ of L ?*

The *deformation invariant* of L is a function $def_L : H^1(L; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$ defined as follows. Given $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$, set

$$def_L(\alpha) := \sup T,$$

where we take the supremum over all $T \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for which there exists a Lagrangian isotopy $\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow M\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $\psi_0 = \iota$, of L such that

$$Flux(\psi)_t = -t\alpha \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{1}$$

or, in other words, the path $\{-t\alpha\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ in $H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ is the path $\{Flux(\psi)_t\}$ for some Lagrangian isotopy ψ of L . It is easy to show that $def_L(\alpha)$ is always non-zero – thus, $def_L(\alpha)$ takes values in $(0, +\infty]$.

In case $(M, \omega = d\lambda)$ is an exact symplectic manifold the invariant def_L can be related to the notion of a symplectic shape studied in [9, 26]. Roughly speaking, the symplectic shape associated to L and $(M, d\lambda)$ is the subset of $H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ formed by the Liouville classes $[e^*\lambda] \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ for all possible Lagrangian embeddings $e : L \rightarrow (M, d\lambda)$. If $L = \mathbb{T}^n$ and $M = \mathbb{T}^n \times U \subset \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n = T^*\mathbb{T}^n$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a connected open set and λ is the standard Liouville form, the Benci-Sikorav theorem [26] (cf. [9]) says that the shape of $(M, d\lambda)$ associated to L is $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \cong H^1(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{R})$. Rephrasing this result in terms of the deformation invariant gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *With U and $(M = \mathbb{T}^n \times U, d\lambda)$ as above, let $\mathbf{x} \in U$ and let $L := \mathbb{T}^n \times \{\mathbf{x}\} \subset M$ be the corresponding Lagrangian submanifold. Then for all $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$def_L(\alpha) = \sup\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbf{x} - t\alpha \in U \}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.3 note that in this setting $Flux(\psi)_t$ can be represented as the difference of the Liouville classes of $\psi_t(L)$ and L :

$$Flux(\psi)_t = \psi_t^* \lambda - \psi_0^* \lambda.$$

Then the inequality $def_L(\alpha) \geq \sup\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbf{x} - t\alpha \in U \}$ follows from the existence of an obvious Lagrangian isotopy $\psi_t(\mathbb{T}^n \times \{\mathbf{x}\}) = \mathbb{T}^n \times \{\mathbf{x} - t\alpha\}$, while the opposite inequality follows directly from the Benci-Sikorav theorem.

The function $1/def_L$ is obviously non-negatively homogeneous. In the case when U is star-shaped with respect to $\mathbf{x}$, it is, by Theorem 1.3, the Minkowski functional associated to U – which in turn completely determines U .

The study of def_L can also be viewed as a relative analogue of a deformation problem for symplectic forms on closed symplectic manifolds considered in [22]: how far can one deform a symplectic form ω within a family of symplectic forms such that the cohomology class of the deformed form changes along a straight ray originating at $[\omega]$ and such that its restriction to a given ω -symplectic submanifold remains symplectic? To see how a relative version of this question is related to def_L we denote by $[\omega]_L \in H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R})$ and $[\omega]_t^\psi \in H^2(M, \psi_t(L); \mathbb{R})$ the relative symplectic area cohomology classes of,

respectively, L and $\psi_t(L)$. The isotopy ψ defines a canonical isomorphism $H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(M, \psi_t(L); \mathbb{R})$ and thus $[\omega]_t^\psi$ can be viewed as an element of $H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R})$. Let $\partial : H^1(L; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R})$ be the connecting homomorphism and assume $\partial \neq 0$. Then

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L + \partial Flux(\psi)_t$$

and condition (1) becomes

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha \in H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, as long as $\partial\alpha \neq 0$, the number $def_L(\alpha)$ measures how far one can deform $[\omega]_L$ in a Lagrangian isotopy ψ satisfying (2).

This viewpoint enables us to study def_L using methods of “hard” symplectic topology. Namely, the existence of pseudo-holomorphic curves with boundaries on $\psi_t(L)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ may yield constraints on the time-length T of the deformation, since $[\omega]_t^\psi$ evaluates positively on such curves. All the upper bounds on $def_L(\alpha)$ known to us and appearing further in this paper are obtained in this way.

On the other hand, as we explain below, lower bounds on def_L can be obtained by “soft” constructions. These bounds come from the study of the second invariant of L , called the *Poisson-bracket invariant of L* . It is defined only for L admitting a fibration over $\mathbb{S}^1$: it is a function on the set of isotopy classes of smooth fibrations of L over $\mathbb{S}^1$. This invariant is defined using symplectic function theory – more precisely, it uses a Poisson-bracket invariant of a quadruple of sets in (M, ω) defined in [3], [11]. In the case when L is a Lagrangian torus with a choice of smooth parametrization $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$, we reduce this invariant to a function $bp_L : H^1(L) \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$. The invariant bp_L admits a dynamical interpretation in terms of the existence of connecting trajectories of sufficiently small time-length between certain subsets of L for certain Hamiltonian flows. The relation between bp_L and def_L is given by the inequality

$$bp_L \leq def_L$$

on $H^1(L)$.

This relation, albeit in a different language, was already exploited in [11], where upper bounds on def_L were obtained by symplectic rigidity methods in a setting where the Lagrangian isotopy class of a Lagrangian torus L does not contain (weakly) exact Lagrangian tori. This was then used to prove

the existence of connecting trajectories of Hamiltonian flows. In this paper we get upper bounds on def_L in new cases by using several recent strong symplectic rigidity results. At the same time we use new soft dynamical constructions to get lower bounds on bp_L , and hence on def_L , in many new settings.

In Section 1.1 below we discuss in detail the definition of bp_L and in Section 1.2 we partially compute the functions bp_L and def_L for several Lagrangian tori. Section 1.3 is then devoted to a discussion of the results and further directions.

1.1 The invariant bp_L for Lagrangian submanifolds fibered over $\mathbb{S}^1$

The definition of bp_L is based on the following construction related to the Poisson bracket.

1.1.1 Poisson bracket invariants

Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a connected symplectic manifold. Our sign convention for the Poisson bracket on (M, ω) will be as follows. For $G \in C^\infty(M)$ define a vector field $\text{sgrad } G$ by $i_{\text{sgrad } G} \omega = -dG$. Given $F, G \in C^\infty(M)$, define the Poisson bracket $\{F, G\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &:= \omega(\text{sgrad } G, \text{sgrad } F) = dF(\text{sgrad } G) = -dG(\text{sgrad } F) = \\ &= L_{\text{sgrad } G} F = -L_{\text{sgrad } F} G. \end{aligned}$$

We say that sets $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ form an *admissible quadruple*, if they are compact and $X_0 \cap X_1 = Y_0 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$.

Assume $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ is an admissible quadruple. Recall from [11] (cf. [3]) the following definition:

$$pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) := \inf_{(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}} \max_M \{F, G\},$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ is the set of all pairs (F, G) , $F, G \in C_c^\infty(M)$, such that

$$F|_{X_0} \leq 0, \quad F|_{X_1} \geq 1, \quad G|_{Y_0} \leq 0, \quad G|_{Y_1} \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

One can show (see [11], [3]) that $\mathcal{F}$ can be replaced in the definition of pb_4^+ by a smaller set $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}'(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ of pairs (F, G) , $F, G \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

for which the inequalities in (3) are replaced by equalities on some open neighborhoods of the sets X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 .

If it is clear from the context what X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 are meant, we will omit the corresponding indices and sets in the notation for pb_4^+ , $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}'$.

The number $1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ has the following dynamical interpretation [11] (cf. [3]):

Consider the set $\mathfrak{S}$ of complete Hamiltonians $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Delta_G := \min_{Y_1} G - \max_{Y_0} G > 0.$$

For each such G define $T_G \in (0, +\infty]$ as the supremum of all $t > 0$ such that there is no trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow of G of time-length $\leq t\Delta_G$ from X_0 to X_1 (such a trajectory is called a *chord of G*). Then

$$\sup_{G \in \mathfrak{S}} T_G = 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1).$$

Thus, $1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = +\infty$ if and only if there exists a complete Hamiltonian $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\min_{Y_1} G - \max_{Y_0} G > 0$ that has no chords from X_0 to X_1 .

1.1.2 The invariant bp_L for general Lagrangian submanifolds

The pb_4^+ -invariant of admissible quadruples can be used to define a symplectic invariant of Lagrangian submanifolds fibered over the circle in the following way.

Let $L \subset (M, \omega)$ be a closed, connected Lagrangian submanifold admitting a fibration over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Let $\mathcal{I}'(L)$ denote the set of smooth fibrations $L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$. The right action of $\text{Diff}_0(L)$ defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{I}'(L)$ and we denote the resulting quotient set by $\mathcal{I}(L)$. Now slice $\mathbb{S}^1$ into 4 consecutive closed arcs $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ in the counterclockwise order. For a chosen smooth fibration $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ representing a class $[f] \in \mathcal{I}(L)$ we define

$$X_0 := f^{-1}(\gamma_1), \quad X_1 := f^{-1}(\gamma_3), \quad Y_0 := f^{-1}(\gamma_4), \quad Y_1 := f^{-1}(\gamma_2).$$

Roughly speaking, we slice L into four parts along cuts parallel to a fiber of f – see Figure 1.




Figure 1: The four sets X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 arising from a fibration f

One easily sees that $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset M$ is an admissible quadruple and $X_0 \cup X_1 \cup Y_0 \cup Y_1 = L$. We call such a quadruple *an admissible quadruple associated to f* . We set

$$bp_L([f]) := 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1).$$

If $pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = 0$, we set $bp_L([f]) := +\infty$. Thus, $bp_L([f])$ takes values in $(0, +\infty]$.

Equivalently, $bp_L([f])$ can be described as the infimum of all $T > 0$ such that for *any* complete Hamiltonian $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $G|_{Y_0} \leq 0$, $G|_{Y_1} \geq 1$, there exists a chord of G from X_0 to X_1 of time-length $\leq T$. If no such T exists we set $bp_L([f]) := +\infty$. In applications we will often prove a lower bound $T \leq bp_L([f])$ by constructing for any $\varepsilon > 0$ a complete Hamiltonian G that satisfies $G|_{Y_0} \leq 0$, $G|_{Y_1} \geq 1$ and has no chords from X_0 to X_1 of time-length $< T - \varepsilon$.

Remark 1.4. The letters in the notation bp stand for the “Poisson bracket” and their inverse order (“ b ” before “ p ”) reflects the fact that in the definition of bp we take the inverse of the maximum of the Poisson bracket.

Proposition 1.5. $bp_L([f])$ is well-defined – i.e. it does not depend on the choice of representative of $[f] \in \mathcal{I}(L)$ and the choice of division of S^1 into 4 arcs.

For the proof see Section 2.1. Clearly, the resulting function $bp_L : \mathcal{I}(L) \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$ is a symplectic invariant of Lagrangian submanifolds fibered over the circle. We call this function the *Poisson-bracket invariant of L* .

We now relate $\mathcal{I}(L)$ to the integral cohomology of L .

Consider the set $\mathcal{N}'(L)$ of all non-singular (that is, non-vanishing) closed 1-forms on L representing non-zero integral classes in $H^1(L)$. It follows easily

from Moser's method [21] that the path-connected components of $\mathcal{N}'(L)$ are exactly the orbits of the natural $\text{Diff}_0(L)$ -action on $\mathcal{N}'(L)$. Let $\mathcal{N}(L) = \mathcal{N}'(L)/\text{Diff}_0(L)$ be the set of the path-connected components of $\mathcal{N}'(L)$.

Define a map $\varrho' : \mathcal{I}'(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(L)$ as follows. Given an element $f \in \mathcal{I}'(L)$, i.e. a fibration $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, let $\varrho'(f)$ be the non-singular 1-form $f^*d\theta$, where $d\theta$ is the standard angle 1-form on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Clearly, $\varrho' : \mathcal{I}'(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'(L)$ induces a map $\varrho : \mathcal{I}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(L)$.

Proposition 1.6. *The map $\varrho : \mathcal{I}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(L)$ is invertible.*

Proof. Define a map $\rho' : \mathcal{N}'(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}'(L)$ as follows. Fix a point $x_0 \in L$. Given a non-singular form $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}'(L)$, let $\rho'(\alpha)$ be the map $L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ that sends each $x \in L$ to $\int_{x_0}^x \alpha \bmod 1$. Here the integral is taken along any smooth path from x_0 to x in L (recall that L is assumed to be connected); a different choice of path changes the integral by an integral value, since the cohomology class of α is integral. One easily checks that $\rho'(\alpha)$ is a fibration of L over $\mathbb{S}^1$ and thus ρ' is well-defined. Clearly, ρ' induces a map $\rho : \mathcal{N}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(L)$.

Note that $\varrho' \circ \rho' = \text{Id}$ and for any fibration $f \in \mathcal{I}'(L)$ the fibration $\rho' \circ \varrho'(f)$ lies in the $\text{Diff}_0(L)$ -orbit of f . This shows that $\rho = \varrho^{-1}$. $\square$

Thus bp_L is also defined as a function $bp_L : \mathcal{N}(L) \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$.

Note that a path in $\mathcal{N}'(L)$ has to lie in the same cohomology class. This defines a map $\Upsilon : \mathcal{N}(L) \rightarrow H^1(L) \setminus 0$. For general L the map Υ does not have to be either surjective or injective – to check whether it is surjective for a particular L is, in general, a very non-trivial task, see [12, 16, 17, 28]. However, if $\dim L \leq 3$, then Υ is injective – see e.g. [19]. Using $\Upsilon : \mathcal{N}(L) \rightarrow H^1(L) \setminus 0$ we define a version $\mathbf{bp}_L$ of the invariant on the image of Υ in $H^1(L) \setminus 0$: for $\alpha \in \text{im}(\Upsilon) \subset H^1(L) \setminus 0$ we set

$$\mathbf{bp}_L(\alpha) := \sup_{A \in \Upsilon^{-1}(\alpha)} bp_L(A),$$

and we extend $\mathbf{bp}_L$ to 0 via $\mathbf{bp}_L(0) := +\infty$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $L \subset (M, \omega)$ be a closed Lagrangian submanifold admitting fibrations over $\mathbb{S}^1$. Then for all $\alpha \in \text{im}(\Upsilon) \cup \{0\} \subset H^1(L)$ we have*

$$\mathbf{bp}_L(\alpha) \leq \text{def}_L(\alpha).$$

Theorem 1.7 is proved in Section 2.2.

Question 1.8. *Do there exist L and $\alpha \in \text{im}(\Upsilon) \subset H^1(L) \setminus 0$ for which $\mathbf{bp}_L(\alpha) \neq \text{def}_L(\alpha)$?*

1.1.3 The invariant bp_L for Lagrangian tori

Let us now assume that L is diffeomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. In this case the map Υ is clearly surjective. If $n \leq 3$, then, as mentioned above, Υ is injective and hence bijective. Thus $bp_L = \mathfrak{bp}_L$ coincide and by abuse of notation we write the invariant

$$bp_L : H^1(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

in these cases (we extend bp_L to 0 via $bp_L(0) := +\infty$).

For a torus of dimension strictly greater than 3 the map Υ may not be injective – for instance, Υ is known to be not injective if $n > 5$, see [18, 25]. In any case, each isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $s : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$ defines a right inverse $\Psi_s : H^1(L) \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(L)$ of Υ as follows: given $a \in H^1(L) \setminus 0$, represent $s^*a \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \setminus 0$ by a linear form and let $\Psi_s(a)$ be the path-connected component of $\mathcal{N}'(L)$ containing the pull-back of this linear form under s^{-1} . Thus for any isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $s : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$ we can define

$$bp_L^s := bp_L \circ \Psi_s : H^1(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where we again extend bp_L to 0 via $bp_L^s(0) := +\infty$. Clearly,

$$bp_L^s \leq \mathfrak{bp}_L. \quad (4)$$

In case the class of parametrizations $s : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$ is clear we sometimes write bp_L by abuse of notation. For instance, if L is a regular fiber of a Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action there is an obvious preferred isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$.

Theorem 1.7 and (4) yield

$$bp_L \leq def_L. \quad (5)$$

Remark 1.9. The discussion above shows that for a Lagrangian torus L , a cohomology class $\alpha \in H^1(L) \setminus 0$ and an isotopy class s of diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$ we have

$$bp_L^s(\alpha) = 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1).$$

Here (X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) is an admissible quadruple associated to a fibration $f_\alpha : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ such that the $\text{Diff}_0(L)$ -orbit of the 1-form $f_\alpha^*d\theta$ on L (whose cohomology class is α) is $\Psi_s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{N}(L)$. By the same token,

$$bp_L^s(-\alpha) = 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_1, Y_0) = 1/pb_4^+(X_1, X_0, Y_0, Y_1).$$

Indeed, $f_{-\alpha}$ can be constructed by composing f_{α} with an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Now assume $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in H^1(L)$ is a primitive class (that is, a class which is not a positive integral multiple of another class in $H^1(L)$). Then an admissible quadruple (X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) associated to $f_{k\alpha}$ can be described in terms of f_{α} . Namely, divide $\mathbb{S}^1$ in consecutive closed arcs $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{4k}$ in the counterclockwise order. Set

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= f_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i \right), \quad X_1 := f_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i \right), \\ Y_0 &:= f_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i \right), \quad Y_1 := f_{\alpha}^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $k = 1$, then the sets X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$, while if $k > 1$ the sets are the unions of the same number of disjoint copies of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$.

1.2 Results about def_L and bp_L

We now present results about def_L and bp_L for several examples of Lagrangian tori in symplectic manifolds. (For general properties of def_L and bp_L see Section 2.3).

1.2.1 Symplectic manifolds without weakly exact Lagrangian submanifolds

Recall that L is called *weakly exact*, if $[\omega]_L \equiv 0$.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $L \subset (M, \omega)$ be a closed Lagrangian submanifold. Assume that (M, ω) does not admit weakly exact Lagrangian submanifolds in the Lagrangian isotopy class of L . Assume also that*

$$\partial\alpha = \frac{1}{C}[\omega]_L,$$

for some class $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ and some $C > 0$. Then $def_L(\alpha) \leq C$.

Proof. Let $\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow M\}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be a Lagrangian isotopy of L such that

$$[\omega]_t^{\psi} = [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha. \tag{6}$$

Since, by the hypothesis of the theorem, $\partial\alpha = \frac{1}{C}[\omega]_L$, we get

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L(1 - t/C).$$

Then $t = C$ cannot lie in the interval $[0, T]$, because if it did we would have $[\omega]_C^\psi = 0$, implying that L_C is weakly exact, in contradiction with the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence $T < C$. Since this is true for any Lagrangian isotopy of L satisfying (6), we get $\text{def}_L(\alpha) \leq C$. $\square$

A similar result for a particular class of Lagrangian submanifolds and a particular α was proved in the same way in [11].

Let us note that symplectic manifolds that do not admit weakly exact Lagrangian submanifolds at all or in a particular Lagrangian isotopy class are plentiful and include, in particular, symplectic vector spaces [14] and complex projective spaces.

1.2.2 Lagrangian tori in symplectic surfaces

Suppose (M^2, ω) is a connected symplectic surface (possibly with boundary) and $L \subset (M, \omega)$ is a simple closed curve (that is, a 1-dimensional Lagrangian torus) lying in the interior of M . By the discussion in Section 1.1.3, bp_L is defined on $H^1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We distinguish between two possibilities: when $M \setminus L$ is disconnected and when $M \setminus L$ is connected. We present precise statements in both cases.

In the first case L divides M into two connected components: M_+ and M_- of areas A_+ , A_- , where $0 < A_\pm \leq +\infty$. There are two generators of $H^1(L)$ that will be denoted by e_+ , e_- , $e_- = -e_+$, where the classes $e_\pm$ correspond to the two possible orientations of L induced, respectively, from $M_\pm$. Here the orientation of the boundary is induced from the orientation of the domain by the usual convention (note the order of the factors):

$$\text{outward normal of } M_i \times \text{orientation of } \partial M_i = \text{orientation of } M_i.$$

Theorem 1.11. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$bp_L(ke_\pm) = \text{def}_L(ke_\pm) = \frac{A_\pm}{k}.$$

In the second case let $L \subset (M, \omega)$ be a simple closed curve such that $M \setminus L$ is connected. Denote a generator of $H^1(L)$ arbitrarily by e .

Theorem 1.12. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$bp_L(ke) = def_L(ke) = +\infty.$$

The case $k = 1$ was proved in [24]. For the proofs of Theorems 1.11 and 1.12 see Section 3.

1.2.3 Toric orbits in symplectic toric manifolds

Let $\mathbb{T}^n := \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$. Denote by $Lie \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ and by $Lie^* \mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ its dual space. Denote by $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ the integral lattice in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a connected (not necessarily closed) symplectic manifold equipped with an effective Hamiltonian action of $\mathbb{T}^n$. Denote the moment map of the action by $\Phi : M \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Assume that Φ is proper, the fibers of Φ are exactly the orbits of the action and the image of Φ is a convex set Δ which is a closed (not necessarily compact) subset of $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and whose interior points are exactly the regular values of Φ (by the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg theorem [1, 15], these conditions are automatically satisfied if M is closed).

Given $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta$, denote by $L_{\mathbf{x}} := \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ the corresponding fiber of Φ . If $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$, then $L_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a Lagrangian torus and the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on $L_{\mathbf{x}}$ gives us a preferred isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{x}}$. Thus the pair $H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}}) \subset H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbb{R})$ is naturally identified with the pair $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. We denote by

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}} := bp_{L_{\mathbf{x}}} : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

the Poisson-bracket invariant of $L_{\mathbf{x}}$.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ and $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$. Define $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ as the largest $t > 0$ for which $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha \in \Delta$ and let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the open segment of the open ray $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $t \in (0, +\infty)$, connecting $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x} - l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\alpha$:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) := \{\mathbf{x} - t\alpha, 0 < t < l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\}.$$

If no such t exists, set $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := +\infty$ and let $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the whole open ray. In other words, $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is the interior of the closed segment obtained by the intersection of the ray with Δ and $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ is the ratio of the rational length of α and the rational length of this segment¹.

¹Recall that the rational length of a vector cv , $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, is defined as $|c|$.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$. Then*

$$l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

For the proof see Section 4.

1.2.4 Lagrangian tori in symplectic vector spaces

Let $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ be equipped with the standard symplectic structure and let $z_1, \dots, z_n$ be the complex coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

SPLIT LAGRANGIAN TORI IN $\mathbb{C}^n$ FOR GENERAL $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Given $x_1, \dots, x_n > 0$, set $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Define a *split Lagrangian torus* $T^n(\mathbf{x}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$T^n(\mathbf{x}) := \{\pi|z_i|^2 = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The standard Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action gives us a preferred isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow T^n(\mathbf{x})$ and we naturally identify $H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}}) \subset H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}}; \mathbb{R})$ with $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

Denote by

$$def_{\mathbf{x}} := def_{T^n(\mathbf{x})} : (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}} := bp_{T^n(\mathbf{x})} : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

the deformation and the Poisson-bracket invariants of $T^n(\mathbf{x})$. We list some basic properties of these functions.

Proposition 1.14.

(A) **Permutation invariance:** *Let $\mathbf{x}_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha_{\sigma} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ be the vectors obtained, respectively, from $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ by a permutation σ of the coordinates. Then for any α*

$$def_{\mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}(\alpha_{\sigma}) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha), \quad bp_{\mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}(\alpha_{\sigma}) = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha). \quad (7)$$

(B) **Homogeneity in $\mathbf{x}$:** *for any $c > 0$*

$$def_{c\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{c} def_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad bp_{c\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{c} bp_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

(C) **Semi-continuity with respect to $\mathbf{x}$:**

$$\{\mathbf{x}_i\} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \implies \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* : bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq \liminf_{\mathbf{x}_i} bp_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\alpha),$$

$$\{\mathbf{x}_i\} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \implies \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* : def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq \liminf_{\mathbf{x}_i} def_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\alpha).$$

(D) **The product property:** For any α

$$def_{(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_N)}(\alpha, 0, \dots, 0) \geq def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

$$bp_{(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_N)}(\alpha, 0, \dots, 0) \geq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

For the proof see Section 5.1.

The following results give partial information about the function $bp_{\mathbf{x}}$. Set $x_{\min} := \min \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Denote by $e_1, \dots, e_n$ the standard generators of $H^1(T^n(\mathbf{x})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$.

Theorem 1.15.

(A) If $m_i \leq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = +\infty.$$

Otherwise

$$\min_{i, m_i > 0} x_i / m_i \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m_1, \dots, m_n).$$

(B) If $x_1 = \dots = x_n =: x$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(k, \dots, k) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(k, \dots, k) = x/k.$$

(C) Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $x_{\min} < x_i/l$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq l$,

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(ke_i) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(ke_i) = +\infty.$$

For the proof see Section 5.2.

SPLIT LAGRANGIAN TORI IN $\mathbb{C}^2$

We first present computations of def_L for certain general Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We then restrict to the cases of split and Chekanov tori.

Let $L \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, \omega)$ be a Lagrangian torus that satisfies the following: for $k \geq 0$ assume there exists a basis $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle A, B \rangle$ such that the Maslov indices satisfy $\mu(A) = 2$, $\mu(B) = 2k$ and the symplectic areas satisfy $0 < \omega(A) \leq \omega(B)/(1+k)$. Furthermore we assume that for a choice of compatible almost complex structure J the class A is represented by a regular J -holomorphic disk.

Theorem 1.16 (E. Opshtein). *With A , B and k as above, let $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ so that $\partial\alpha(A) =: a > 0$ and $\partial\alpha(B) =: b \leq (k+1)a$. Then*

$$def_L(\alpha) \leq \frac{\omega(A)}{a}.$$

For the proof see Section 6.1.

Theorem 1.16, together with Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.15, yields the following corollary for computations of def_L and bp_L for split tori in $\mathbb{R}^4$. We state the result in the case $x_1 \leq x_2$ – the corresponding result in the case $x_1 > x_2$ can be deduced from it using (7).

Corollary 1.17. *Assume $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, $0 < x_1 \leq x_2$. Under these assumptions the following claims are true:*

(A) *If $m, n \leq 0$, then*

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = +\infty.$$

(B) *If $x_1 < x_2/l$ and $1 \leq n \leq l$, then*

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(0, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(0, n) = +\infty.$$

(C) *If $nx_1 - mx_2 \leq 0, m > 0$, then*

$$x_1/m \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) \leq def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n).$$

(D) *If $nx_1 - mx_2 \geq 0, n > 0$, then*

$$x_2/n \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) \leq def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n).$$

(E) *Assume for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ that $2x_1 \leq x_2$. If $m > 0$, $n - 2m \leq 0$, then*

$$x_1/m = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n).$$




Figure 2: Regions of validity in Corollary 1.17 for $x_1 < x_2$.

□

Parts (A) - (D) of Corollary 1.17 follow directly from Theorems 1.7 and 1.15. Part (E) follows from the inequalities:

$$x_1/m \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) \leq def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) \leq x_1/m.$$

Here the first inequality follows from part (C) of Corollary 1.17, the second one from Theorem 1.7, and the third one from Theorem 1.16 with A, B being the standard basis of $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, T^2(\mathbf{x}))$ (so that $\omega(A) = x_1$, $\omega(B) = x_2$), $\alpha = me_1 + ne_2$, $a = m$, $b = n$ and $k = 1$.

In the case of the split monotone Lagrangian torus in $\mathbb{C}^2$ Corollary 1.17, together with part (B) of Proposition 1.14 and Theorem 1.10 (since $\mathbb{C}^2$ does not admit weakly exact Lagrangian submanifolds by [14]), yields the following result.




Figure 3: Regions of validity in Corollary 1.17 for $x_1 < x_2/l$ for $l \geq 2$.

Corollary 1.18. Assume $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x, x)$, $x > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 +\infty &= bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n), \text{ if } m, n \leq 0, \\
 \frac{x}{m} &= bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, m) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, m), \text{ if } m = n > 0, \\
 \frac{x}{\max\{m, n\}} &\leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) \leq def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n), \text{ if } m > 0 \text{ or } n > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Θ_a . Let $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{\gamma}$ be the integral basis of $H^1(\Theta_a)$ dual to Γ, γ . Define the functions $def_a : (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$, $bp_a : (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$ by

$$def_a(m, n) := def_{\Theta_a}(m\hat{\Gamma} + n\hat{\gamma}), \quad bp_a(m, n) := bp_{\Theta_a}(m\hat{\Gamma} + n\hat{\gamma}).$$

Theorem 1.19. *The following claims hold for Chekanov tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$:*

- (A) $bp_a(m, n) \geq a/m$, if $m > 0$.
- (B) $bp_a(m, n) = def_a(m, n) = +\infty$ for $m < 0$.

For the proof see Section 6.2.

1.2.5 Lagrangian tori in complex projective spaces

Let $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$ and let ω be the standard Fubini-Study symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ normalized so that $\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega = 1$.

Theorem 1.20. *Let $L \subset (\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega)$ be a Lagrangian torus and $\alpha \in H^1(L)$. Consider $[\omega]_t := [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, L; \mathbb{R})$ for $t \geq 0$. If there exists a $C > 0$ such that $[\omega]_C \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, L; \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z})$, then*

$$def_L(\alpha) \leq C.$$

For the proof see Section 7.1.

We provide a precise statement in the case of Lagrangian torus fibers. Consider the standard Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on $\mathbb{C}P^n$: $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ as a map

$$[z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] \mapsto [z_0 : e^{2\pi i t_1} z_1 : \dots : e^{2\pi i t_n} z_n].$$

Denote the moment map of the action by $\Phi : \mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Its image is the simplex

$$\Delta := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0, 0 \leq x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq 1\}.$$

As in Section 1.2.3, for $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ denote $L_{\mathbf{x}} := \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ the corresponding Lagrangian torus fiber of Φ and set

$$def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := def_{L_{\mathbf{x}}}(\alpha), \quad bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := bp_{L_{\mathbf{x}}}(\alpha)$$

for each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \cong H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}})$.

By Theorem 1.13, for all $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$

$$l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha). \quad (8)$$

For certain $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ we obtain an upper bound on $def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ from Theorem 1.20.

Namely, define $d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ as the smallest $t > 0$ for which $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha \in \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ and let $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the open segment of the same open ray $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $t \in (0, +\infty)$, as above connecting $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x} - d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\alpha$:

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) := \{\mathbf{x} - t\alpha, \ 0 < t < d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\}.$$

If no such t exists, set $d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := +\infty$ and let $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the whole open ray. In other words, $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is the open part of the segment of the ray connecting the origin $\mathbf{x}$ of the ray to the closest point of the lattice $\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ on the ray.

Corollary 1.21. *With the setup as above,*

$$def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha). \quad (9)$$

□

In case $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ the lower and the upper bounds (8), (9) yield $def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$. For instance, this happens when the intervals $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ coincide. Thus, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1.22. *Assume that $\mathbf{x} = \kappa\alpha$ for some $\kappa > 0$, $\alpha \in H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}})$, and that the open interval $\{t\mathbf{x}, \ 0 < t < \kappa\}$, does not contain points of the lattice $\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$.*

Then this interval coincides with $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ and therefore

$$def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = \kappa.$$

□

1.2.6 Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$

Let $(\mathbb{S}^2, \sigma)$ be the standard symplectic sphere with normalized symplectic area $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma = 1$.

Theorem 1.23. *Let $L \subset (\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \sigma \oplus \sigma)$ be a Lagrangian torus and $\alpha \in H^1(L)$. Consider $[\omega]_t := [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha \in H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L; \mathbb{R})$ for $t \geq 0$. If there exists a $C > 0$ such that $[\omega]_C \in H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L; \mathbb{Z})$, then*

$$\text{def}_L(\alpha) \leq C.$$

For the proof see Section 7.2.

We provide a precise statement in the case of Lagrangian torus fibers. Consider the standard Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^2$ -action on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$: $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ as a map (we identify $\mathbb{S}^2$ with $\mathbb{C}P^1$)

$$([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto ([x_0 : e^{2\pi i t_1} x_1], [y_0 : e^{2\pi i t_2} y_1]).$$

Denote the moment map of the action by $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2)^*$. Its image is given by

$$\Delta := [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

As in Section 1.2.3, for $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ denote $L_{\mathbf{x}} := \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ the corresponding Lagrangian torus fiber of Φ and set

$$\text{def}_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := \text{def}_{L_{\mathbf{x}}}(\alpha), \quad bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := bp_{L_{\mathbf{x}}}(\alpha)$$

for each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \cong H^1(L_{\mathbf{x}})$.

By Theorem 1.13, for all $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$

$$l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

For certain $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ we obtain an upper bound on $\text{def}_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ from Theorem 1.23.

Namely, define $d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)$ as the smallest $t > 0$ for which $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ and let $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the open segment of the same open ray $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $t \in (0, +\infty)$, as above connecting $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x} - d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\alpha$:

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha) := \{\mathbf{x} - t\alpha, \ 0 < t < d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)\}.$$

If no such t exists, set $d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) := +\infty$ and let $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ be the whole open ray. In other words, $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is the open part of the segment of the ray connecting the origin $\mathbf{x}$ of the ray to the closest point of the lattice $(\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ on the ray.

Corollary 1.24. *With the setup as above,*

$$l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) \leq d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ connects $\mathbf{x}$ to either of the four vertices of Δ , then

$$l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha) = d_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha).$$

□

1.3 Discussion and open questions

The results above reflect first steps in the study of the invariants bp_L and def_L . In this section we discuss the main difficulty in the current approach and a possible direction of further investigation of these invariants.

As we have already mentioned in the introduction, the lower bounds on bp_L come from “soft” constructions, while the upper bounds on def_L are based on “rigid” symplectic methods – foremost, on strong results yielding the existence of pseudo-holomorphic disks with boundary on Lagrangian submanifolds appearing in appropriate Lagrangian isotopies of L . Unfortunately, it seems that these strong rigidity results are not strong enough to get upper bounds on $def_L(\alpha)$ for many α even for the basic examples of Lagrangian tori considered above. The (well-known) difficulty comes from the fact that the pseudo-holomorphic disks in a given relative homology class of L in M may not persist in a Lagrangian isotopy $\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow M\}$ of L (since bubbling-off of pseudo-holomorphic disks is a codimension-1 phenomenon), which makes it very difficult to track, as t changes, the relative homology classes of $\psi_t(L)$ carrying the disks and, accordingly, the symplectic areas of these disks. (As above, we use the Lagrangian isotopy to identify the relative homology groups of all $\psi_t(L)$). In our case the difficulty is compounded by the need to track the pseudo-holomorphic disks and their areas for an *arbitrary* Lagrangian isotopy ψ of L satisfying the cohomological condition (1), with no *a priori* geometric information about it. Such a Lagrangian isotopy ψ typically involves non-monotone Lagrangians which limits even more the control over the disks and their areas.

Thus, the progress on upper bounds for def_L depends on getting more precise information on pseudo-holomorphic/symplectic disks with boundary on (possibly non-monotone) Lagrangian submanifolds Lagrangian isotopic to L .

Here is an example of possible additional helpful information on the disks. We will present it in the case of Lagrangian tori in the standard symplectic $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Assume there is a way to associate to any non-monotone Lagrangian torus $L \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ an ordered integral basis B_L of $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L)$ with the following properties:

(a) For any Lagrangian isotopy L_t of L *among non-monotone Lagrangian tori* the following conditions hold:

- the bases B_{L_t} for different t are all identified with each other under the isomorphisms between the groups $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L_t)$ defined by the Lagrangian isotopy;
- the symplectic areas of the elements of B_{L_t} are positive and change continuously with t .

(b) For $L = T^2(x_1, x_2)$, $x_1 < x_2$, the basis B_L is the standard basis of $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, T^2(x_1, x_2))$.

If such bases exist, a rather straightforward argument would allow to strengthen Corollary 1.17 and show that if $0 < x_1 \leq x_2$ and $nx_1 - mx_2 \leq 0$, $m > 0$, then

$$x_1/m = bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n).$$

This, in turn, would allow to strengthen Corollary 1.18 and show that for a monotone split Lagrangian torus $T^2(x, x)$ one has

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = def_{\mathbf{x}}(m, n) = \frac{x}{\max\{0, m, n\}}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The question about the existence of a basis B_L is motivated by the folk conjecture that any non-monotone torus L in $\mathbb{C}^2$ is Hamiltonian isotopic to a split torus $T^2(x_1, x_2)$.

Indeed, assume the conjecture is true. Then, by a theorem of Y. Chekanov [4], the ordered pair (x_1, x_2) is uniquely determined by L , as long as we require $x_1 < x_2$. A Hamiltonian isotopy between L and $T^2(x_1, x_2)$ identifies the standard basis of $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, T(x_1, x_2))$ with an integral basis B_L of $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L)$. The basis B_L does not depend on the choice of the Hamiltonian isotopy (since any Hamiltonian isotopy of $\mathbb{C}^2$ preserving $T^2(x_1, x_2)$ as a set acts trivially on

the homology of $T^2(x_1, x_2)$, by a theorem of M.-L. Yau [29]). It is not hard to check that B_L satisfies (a) and (b).

The existence of bases B_L satisfying (a) and (b) is, of course, much weaker than the conjecture and, accordingly, might be easier to prove and to generalize to higher dimensions.

2 Properties of bp_L and def_L

In this section we prove the correctness of the definition of bp_L , the inequality $bp_L \leq def_L$ and list basic properties of bp_L and def_L that will be used further in the paper.

2.1 Proof of Proposition 1.5

Let $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be a smooth fibration. We first show that for $\phi \in \text{Diff}_0(L)$ the bp_L -invariants of f and $f \circ \phi$ are equal.

Note that any $\phi \in \text{Diff}_0(L)$ is a time-1 flow of a time-dependent vector field on L . Denote this vector field on L by X_t and the corresponding flow by ϕ_t . Let $W \cong U \subset T^*L$ be a Weinstein neighborhood of L in M . Identify L with the 0-section in U . We can extend X_t in U to a Hamiltonian vector field as follows: in canonical coordinates (q, p) we define the Hamiltonian $H_t : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $H_t(q, p) = p(X_t(q))$. A short calculation reveals that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_t} \equiv X_t$ on the zero-section. By multiplying H_t with a suitable cut-off function one obtains a time-dependent Hamiltonian with compact support in W such that the induced flow on L coincides with the flow of ϕ_t . Therefore, by invariance of pb_4^+ under symplectomorphism, bp_L does not depend on the choice of representative of a class in $\mathcal{I}(L)$.

We now show independence of the choice of four arcs γ_i . Choose four other arcs γ'_i in the same fashion. Note there exists a $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ such that $\varphi(\gamma'_i) = \gamma_i$. Using the notation of Section 1.1.2, this implies that the image of $\varphi \circ f$ and f under ϱ' lie in the same path-connected component of $\mathcal{N}'(L)$. Using Proposition 1.6 we see that $\varphi \circ f$ and f represent the same equivalence class in $\mathcal{I}(L)$. Hence our definition is independent of choice of arcs. $\square$

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.7

For $\alpha = 0$ we have $bp_L(\alpha) = def_L(\alpha) = +\infty$ verifying the claim.

Let us assume $\alpha \neq 0$. We will prove the inequality $\mathfrak{bp}_L(\alpha) \leq \text{def}_L(\alpha)$ by a method developed in [3] (cf. [11]). Namely, given $\alpha \in H^1(L)$, consider any smooth fibration $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \varrho([f]) = \alpha$ (see Section 1.1.2 for the notation). Let X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 be an admissible quadruple used in the definition of $bp_L([f]) = 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$. Let $(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}'(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$. Note that, since $L = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup Y_0 \cup Y_1$ and since F is constant on some neighborhoods of X_0 and X_1 and G is constant on some neighborhoods of Y_0 and Y_1 ,

$$dF \wedge dG \equiv 0 \quad \text{on a neighborhood of } L, \quad (10)$$

and thus $FdG|_L$ is a closed 1-form on L . An easy direct computation shows that

$$[FdG|_L] = -\alpha \in H^1(L). \quad (11)$$

Consider the deformation

$$\omega_t := \omega + tdF \wedge dG, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$dF \wedge dG \wedge \omega^{n-1} = -\frac{1}{n} \{F, G\} \omega^n,$$

and thus

$$\omega_t^n = (1 - t\{F, G\})\omega^n.$$

Thus, ω_t is symplectic for any $t \in I_{(F,G)}$, where

$$I_{(F,G)} := \left[0, \frac{1}{\max_M \{F, G\}}\right).$$

Fix an arbitrary $t \in I_{(F,G)}$. Since F, G are compactly supported, the form ω can be mapped (using Moser's method [21]) to ω_t by a compactly supported isotopy $\vartheta_t : (M, \omega_t) \rightarrow (M, \omega)$. Since, by (10), L is Lagrangian with respect to ω_t , we get that $L_t := \vartheta_t(L)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold of (M, ω) Lagrangian isotopic to L .

Using (11) we readily see that, under the identification $H^2(M, L; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(M, L_t; \mathbb{R})$ induced by the isotopy, the class $[\omega]_{L_t}$ is identified with $\omega - t\partial\alpha$. Since this is true for all $t \in I_{(F,G)}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\max_M \{F, G\}} \leq \text{def}_L(\alpha).$$

Now the latter inequality holds for all $(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}'(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$, this gives us

$$bp_L([f]) := 1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) \leq def_L(\alpha).$$

This is true for any $[f]$ such that $\Upsilon \circ \varrho([f]) = \alpha$, hence

$$\mathbf{bp}_L(\alpha) \leq def_L(\alpha).$$

□

2.3 General properties of bp_L and def_L

We state general properties of def_L .

HOMOGENEITY OF def_L :

def_L is positively homogeneous of degree -1 ,

$$def_L(c\alpha) = def_L(\alpha)/c$$

for any $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$.

Question 2.1. *Is there an inequality/equality between $bp_L(k\alpha)$ and $kbp_L(\alpha)$ in case L is a Lagrangian torus and $k \in \mathbb{N}$?*

SEMI-CONTINUITY OF def_L :

Let $L_j \subset (M, \omega)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of Lagrangian submanifolds Lagrangian isotopic to L and converging to $L \subset (M, \omega)$ in the C^1 -topology. Then

$$def_L(\alpha) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} def_{L_j}(\alpha) \text{ for any } \alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R}). \quad (12)$$

(Here we use the canonical isomorphism $H^1(L_j; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$). The inequality follows from a parametric version of the Weinstein neighborhood theorem.

Now let us consider the general properties of pb_4^+ . We will use the following notation: if $U \subset M$ (possibly $U = M$) is an open set containing an admissible

quadruple X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 we will denote by $pb_4^{U,+}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$ the Poisson bracket invariant defined using functions supported in U .

The following properties of pb_4^+ and bp_L follow easily from the definitions.

MONOTONICITY OF pb_4^+ :

Assume $U \subset W$ are opens sets in M and $X'_0, X'_1, Y'_0, Y'_1 \subset U \subset W$ is an admissible quadruple. Let X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 be another admissible quadruple such that $X_0 \subset X'_0, X_1 \subset X'_1, Y_0 \subset Y'_0, Y_1 \subset Y'_1$. Then

$$pb_4^{W,+}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) \leq pb_4^{U,+}(X'_0, X'_1, Y'_0, Y'_1). \quad (13)$$

SEMI-CONTINUITY OF pb_4^+ AND bp_L :

Suppose that a sequence $X_0^{(j)}, X_1^{(j)}, Y_0^{(j)}, Y_1^{(j)}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, of ordered collections converges (in the sense of the Hausdorff distance between sets) to a collection X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 . Then

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} pb_4^+(X_0^{(j)}, X_1^{(j)}, Y_0^{(j)}, Y_1^{(j)}) \leq pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1).$$

Accordingly, if $L_j \subset (M, \omega), j \in \mathbb{N}$, is a sequence of Lagrangian submanifolds Lagrangian isotopic to L and converging to $L \subset (M, \omega)$ in the C^1 -topology. Then

$$bp_L(\alpha) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} bp_{L_j}(\alpha) \text{ for any } \alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R}). \quad (14)$$

(Here we use the canonical isomorphism $H^1(L_j; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$).

BEHAVIOR OF pb_4^+ AND bp_L UNDER PRODUCTS:

Suppose that M and N are connected symplectic manifolds. Equip $M \times N$ with the product symplectic form. Let $K \subset N$ be a compact subset. Then for every collection X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 of compact subsets of M

$$pb_4^{M \times N,+}(X_0 \times K, X_1 \times K, Y_0 \times K, Y_1 \times K) \leq pb_4^{M,+}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1). \quad (15)$$

The following product property of bp_L follows immediately from (15):

Proposition 2.2. *Assume $L_i \subset (M_i, \omega_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are Lagrangian tori and $\alpha \in H^1(L_1)$. Consider the Lagrangian submanifold $L_1 \times L_2 \subset (M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2)$ and the cohomology class $\alpha \times g \in H^1(L_1 \times L_2)$, where g is a generator of $H^0(L_2)$. Let $s_i : \mathbb{T}^{n_i} \rightarrow L_i$ be two isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms. Then*

$$bp_{L_1 \times L_2}^{s_1 \times s_2}(\alpha \times g) \geq bp_{L_1}^{s_1}(\alpha).$$

□

BEHAVIOR OF pb_4^+ UNDER SYMPLECTIC REDUCTION:

The following property of pb_4^+ did not appear in [3], [11], but is proved similarly to (15).

Namely, let (M, ω) be a connected, not necessarily closed, symplectic manifold. Let $\Sigma \subset (M, \omega)$ be a coisotropic submanifold. We do not assume that Σ is a closed subset of M . Assume that the characteristic foliation of Σ defines a *proper* fibration $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow (N, \eta)$ over a (not necessarily closed) symplectic manifold (N, η) .

Let $X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 \subset N$ be an admissible quadruple (in particular, the sets are compact). Assume

$$\widehat{X}_0 \subset \pi^{-1}(X_0), \widehat{X}_1 \subset \pi^{-1}(X_1), \widehat{Y}_0 \subset \pi^{-1}(Y_0), \widehat{Y}_1 \subset \pi^{-1}(Y_1)$$

are some compact sets in M . Then $\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1 \subset M$ is an admissible quadruple. Moreover,

$$pb_4^{M,+}(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1) \leq pb_4^{N,+}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1). \quad (16)$$

Indeed, let F, G be functions on N such that $(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}_N(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1)$. Consider the functions $F \circ \pi, G \circ \pi$ on Σ . Since $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow N$ is proper and F, G are compactly supported, so are $F \circ \pi, G \circ \pi$. Now cut off the functions $F \circ \pi, G \circ \pi$ in the isotropic direction normal to Σ . As a result we get functions $\chi(r^2)(F \circ \pi), \chi(r^2)(G \circ \pi)$ with compact support lying in a tubular neighborhood U of Σ – here r is a radial function on the isotropic normal bundle to Σ with respect to a Riemannian metric on that bundle and $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a smooth function supported near 0 and satisfying $\chi(0) = 1$. Extend the two functions from U to M by zero and denote the resulting

functions on M by $\widehat{F}, \widehat{G}$. One easily checks that $(\widehat{F}, \widehat{G}) \in \mathcal{F}_M(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1)$ and $\max_M \{\widehat{F}, \widehat{G}\} = \max_N \{F, G\}$, which yields (16).

In the case when Σ is a fiber of the moment map of a Hamiltonian action of a Lie group H on M the reduced space $N = \Sigma/H$ may not be a smooth symplectic manifold but a symplectic orbifold, which brings us to the following discussion.

THE POISSON BRACKET INVARIANT FOR SYMPLECTIC ORBIFOLDS:

Recall (see e.g. [20]) that the notions of smooth functions, vector fields and differential forms can be extended to orbifolds. In particular, there is a well-defined notion of an orbifold symplectic form; an orbifold equipped with such a form is called a *symplectic orbifold*. Accordingly, there is a notion of the Poisson bracket of two smooth functions on a symplectic orbifold and the definition of pb_4^+ can be carried over literally to symplectic orbifolds.

It is easy to check that the proof of (16) goes through in the case when the reduced symplectic space N is an orbifold.

The proof of the lower bound for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A_{\pm}/k \leq bp_L(ke_{\pm}), \quad (17)$$

from Theorem 1.11 can also be modified for the orbifold case.

Indeed, for the proof of (17) one needs to find an appropriate pair of functions F, G on M such that $\max_M \{F, G\}$ is arbitrarily close to $k/A_{\pm}$. The singular points of a 2-dimensional symplectic orbifold M form a discrete subset $S \subset M$. One can cut out small disjoint closed topological disks around the points of S (denote their union by D) and instead glue in smooth disks of the same area (denote their union by D') to get a *smooth* symplectic surface $M' = (M \setminus D) \sqcup D'$ of the same area B . The construction in the proof of Theorem 1.11 (cf. [23, Thm. 7.5.3]) can be easily adjusted to produce the required F, G on M' so that F, G are constant on a neighborhood of D' , as long as D and D' are sufficiently small. Then F, G can be extended by constants from $M \setminus D = M' \setminus D'$ to M , yielding the needed smooth functions on the orbifold M .

SYMPLECTIC REDUCTION AND bp_L :

Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a connected, not necessarily closed, symplectic manifold. Let $\Sigma^{n+1} \subset (M, \omega)$ be a smooth coisotropic submanifold. We do not assume

that Σ is a closed subset of M . Assume that the characteristic foliation of Σ defines a *proper* fibration $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow (N, \eta)$ over a (not necessarily closed) 2-dimensional symplectic orbifold (N^2, η) . Let $L \subset (M, \omega)$ be a Lagrangian torus lying in Σ and s an isotopy class of diffeomorphisms $\mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow L$. Assume that $\pi|_L : L \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a fiber bundle over a simple closed curve $\Gamma := \pi(L)$ lying in the non-singular part of N and dividing N into two domains of areas A_+ and A_- .

Equip Γ with an orientation induced by the orientation of the domain of area A_+ . The orientation of Γ defines a positive generator $e \in H^1(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in H^1(L)$ is a primitive class. Assume that there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ that identifies the fiber bundle $\pi|_L : L \rightarrow \Gamma$ with a fibration $f_\alpha : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ associated to s and α – see Remark 1.9. Then an admissible quadruple associated to a fibration $f_{k\alpha}$ can be described in terms of f_α as follows (see Remark 1.9).

Divide $\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \Gamma$ in $4k$ consecutive closed arcs $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{4k}$ numbered in the counterclockwise order. Set

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & X_1 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, \\ Y_0 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & Y_1 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_0 &:= \pi^{-1}(X_0) \cap L = f_\alpha^{-1}(X_0), & \widehat{X}_1 &:= \pi^{-1}(X_1) \cap L = f_\alpha^{-1}(X_1), \\ \widehat{Y}_0 &:= \pi^{-1}(Y_0) \cap L = f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_0), & \widehat{Y}_1 &:= \pi^{-1}(Y_1) \cap L = f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 1.9, $(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1)$ is an admissible quadruple associated to $f_{k\alpha}$.

Combining this observation with (13) and (16) (in the orbifold case), we immediately get:

$$bp_L^s(k\alpha) = 1/pb_4^{M,+}(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1) \geq 1/pb_4^{N,+}(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = bp_\Gamma(ke).$$

In view of

$$bp_L^s(-k\alpha) = 1/pb_4^{M,+}(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_1, \widehat{Y}_0)$$

(see Remark 1.9) and (17) (in the orbifold case) this yields the following claim.

Proposition 2.3. *With the setup as above, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$bp_L^s(k\alpha) \geq A_+/k$$

and

$$bp_L^s(-k\alpha) \geq A_-/k.$$

□

3 Lagrangian tori in symplectic surfaces

Proof of Theorem 1.11.

The upper bound $def_L(ke_\pm) \leq A_\pm/k$ follows from Theorem 1.10 (cf. the proof of [3, Thm. 1.20]). Since, by Theorem 1.7, $bp_L(ke_\pm) \leq def_L(ke_\pm)$, it remains to prove that $bp_L(ke_\pm) \geq A_\pm/k$.

We first consider a model situation. Let $\varepsilon, A > 0$ and denote by $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the coordinates and by $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the projection onto the x -axis, $\pi(x, y) = x$. Define

$$Q(A) := [0, A] \times [0, 1] \subset Q_\varepsilon(A) := (-\varepsilon, A + \varepsilon) \times (-\varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Label three sides of $Q(A)$ as follows:

$$\widehat{X}_0 = [0, A] \times \{0\}, \quad \widehat{Y}_0 = \{0\} \times [0, 1], \quad \widehat{Y}_1 = \{A\} \times [0, 1].$$

For the remaining side $[0, A] \times \{1\}$ we choose a partition into $4k - 3$ closed intervals $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{4k-3}$, ordered from right to left, such that for $i \equiv 0, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ the intervals γ_i have length ε , the interval γ_1 has length $\frac{A}{k}$ and the remaining intervals have length $\frac{A}{k} - 3\varepsilon$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= \widehat{X}_0 \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & X_1 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, \\ Y_0 &:= \widehat{Y}_0 \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & Y_1 &:= \widehat{Y}_1 \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose a piecewise-linear function $G_\varepsilon : Q_\varepsilon(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that satisfies:

- (i) $G_\varepsilon|_{Y_0} = 0, G_\varepsilon|_{Y_1} = 1,$

(ii) G_ε only depends on x in $Q(A)$,

(iii) G_ε has compact support.

One can choose G_ε to satisfy $\partial_x G_\varepsilon \leq 1/(\frac{A}{k} - 3\varepsilon)$ on $\pi(X_1) \times [0, 1] \subset Q(A)$, see for example Figure 5. Therefore there exists a smooth approximation G that satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii) and has slope $\partial_x G < 1/(\frac{A}{k} - 4\varepsilon)$ on $\pi(X_1) \times [0, 1]$. This implies that all chords of G from X_0 to X_1 have time-length $T > \frac{A}{k} - 4\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, by the dynamical characterization of bp_L in Section 1.1.2, we have $1/pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) \geq \frac{A}{k}$.




Figure 5: Partition and function in the case $k = 3$

We now construct a specific neighborhood of $L \subset M$. We first treat the case where L is a boundary component of M_+ . Note that the boundary orientation of L corresponds to e_+ .

For a small $\delta > 0$ choose $A = A_+ - \delta$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_n \subset \text{Int } Q(A)$ denote a non-intersecting finite union of closed and open disks of total symplectic area less than δ . Then for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and for an appropriate choice of disks D_i there exists an open neighborhood $U_+ \subset M$ of L that is symplectomorphic to $Q_\varepsilon(A) \setminus D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$ and such that L with the orientation e_+ gets mapped arbitrarily close to $\partial Q(A)$ with the standard boundary

orientation. If $\delta > 0$ is chosen small enough, one can choose the disks D_i to lie in the region $\pi(Y_0) \times [0, 1] \subset Q(A)$. Thus we can extend the function G from the model situation to the symplectic manifold M by 0. Then all chords of G from X_0 to X_1 have time-length $T > \frac{A}{k} - 4\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, together with the semi-continuity and symplectic invariance properties of pb_4^+ (see Section 2.3) this implies that $bp_L(ke_+) \geq A_+/k$.

When L with the orientation e_- is a boundary component of M_- a similar construction gives us the lower bound $bp_L(ke_-) \geq A_-/k$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.12.

The case $k = \pm 1$ was shown in [24]. We generalize this construction to arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By Remark 1.9 we see that an admissible quadruple associated to ke is given by dividing $L \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ into $4k$ consecutive closed arcs and labeling them with X_0, Y_1, X_1, X_1 following the orientation of L in the case $k > 0$ and else following the opposite orientation in the case $k < 0$. Note that, by Proposition 1.5, $bp_L(ke)$ does not depend on the choice of subdivision as long as the order of the $4k$ arcs is preserved.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the symplectic annulus,

$$(Z_\varepsilon = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [-1, 1]/\sim, \quad dx \wedge dy)$$

where we identify $(x, -1) \sim (x, 1)$. Partition the subset $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \{0\}$ into $4k + 1$ consecutive intervals $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{4k+1}$ respecting the standard orientation on $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_0 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & \widehat{X}_1 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, \\ \widehat{Y}_0 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & \widehat{Y}_1 &:= \bigcup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily construct functions $F, G : Z_\varepsilon \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(F, G) \in \mathcal{F}'(\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1)$ and $\{F, G\} \equiv 0$, namely choose F and G to only depend on the x -coordinate.

The Lagrangian $L \subset M$ is not a separating curve. Thus there exists an embedded loop $\gamma \subset M$ that intersects L exactly once. Furthermore one can find a tubular neighborhood N of γ that is symplectomorphic to Z_ε for

$\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. The symplectomorphism can be chosen to map γ to $\{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ and $L \cap N$ to $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \{0\}$.

We now make a specific choice of admissible quadruple X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 on L . Namely choose $X_0 \subset L$ such that $L \setminus N \subset X_0$ and $X_0 \cap N = \widehat{X}_0$, $X_1 \cap N = \widehat{X}_1$, $Y_0 \cap N = \widehat{Y}_0$ and $Y_1 \cap N = \widehat{Y}_1$ (or, if the order requires, use the reflection of $\widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_1, \widehat{Y}_0, \widehat{Y}_1 \subset Z_\varepsilon$ along the y -axis). Since F, G have compact support in Z_ε , we may pull back the functions to M and obtain functions on M that satisfy (3), have compact support and Poisson-commute. This proves the theorem. $\square$

4 Toric orbits in symplectic toric manifolds

In this section we prove Theorem 1.13.

Set $k\alpha := (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* = H^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where α is a primitive class and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Complete α to an integral basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha$ of $H^1(\mathbb{T}^n) = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ and let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ be the dual integral basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus, we have a splitting

$$\text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\} \oplus \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha\}.$$

Let $\pi_1 : \text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\pi_2 : \text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha\}$ be the projections defined by the splitting.

Consider the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subtorus $H \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ whose Lie algebra is

$$\text{Lie } H := \text{Ker } \alpha = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Lie } \mathbb{T}^n.$$

The map $\text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \text{Lie}^* H$ dual to the inclusion $\text{Lie } H \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathbb{T}^n$ can be identified with the projection π_1 .

Since H is a subtorus of $\mathbb{T}^n$, there is a Hamiltonian action of H on (M, ω) whose moment map Φ_H can be described as the composition of Φ and π_1 . Thus, $L_{\mathbf{x}} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ lies in a fiber $\Sigma'_{\mathbf{x}}$ of Φ_H which is the union of the fibers $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta$ such that $\pi_1(\mathbf{y}) = \pi_1(\mathbf{x})$. The set $\Sigma'_{\mathbf{x}}$ may be an orbifold, but its smooth part $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \Sigma'_{\mathbf{x}}$, which is the union of the fibers $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Int } \Delta$ with $\pi_1(\mathbf{y}) = \pi_1(\mathbf{x})$, is a smooth coisotropic $(n+1)$ -dimensional submanifold of M .

The torus H acts on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$ and the reduced space $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}/H$ is a 2-dimensional symplectic orbifold $N_{\mathbf{x}}$. The natural projection $\pi_H : \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}/H$ is proper

and its fibers are exactly the leaves of the characteristic foliation of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$. The 1-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^n/H$ acts in a Hamiltonian way on $N_{\mathbf{x}}$. In fact, the torus $\mathbb{T}^n/H$ can be identified with a subtorus of $\mathbb{T}^n$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\beta_n\} \subset \text{Lie } \mathbb{T}^n$. Accordingly, $\text{Lie}^*(\mathbb{T}^n/H)$ is identified with $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha\} \subset \text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n$. Thus, the moment map $\Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H}$ of the $\mathbb{T}^n/H$ -action on $N_{\mathbf{x}}$ can be viewed as a map $\Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H} : N_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\alpha\}$.

A well-known property of Hamiltonian group actions (the so-called “reduction in stages”, see e.g. [2, Exercise III.12]) implies that the orbits of the original $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on (M, ω) lying in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$ project under $\pi_H : \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbf{x}}$ to the orbits of the $\mathbb{T}^n/H$ -action on $N_{\mathbf{x}}$ and for any $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n \cap \Delta$ such that $\pi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \pi_1(\mathbf{y})$ we have

$$\pi_2 \circ \Phi = \Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H} \circ \pi_H \text{ on } \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Therefore the image of the moment map $\Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H}$ can be identified with the image under π_2 of the intersection of the line $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in the affine space $(\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \text{Lie}^* \mathbb{T}^n$ with $\Delta = \text{Im } \Phi$.

Another conclusion is that the torus $L_{\mathbf{x}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$, which is an orbit of the $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on (M, ω) , projects under $\pi_H : \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}}/H$ to a simple closed curve $\Gamma \subset N_{\mathbf{x}}$ that lies in the non-singular part of the orbifold $N_{\mathbf{x}}$. The curve Γ is an orbit of $\mathbb{T}^n/H$ and as such can be identified with $\mathbb{S}^1$ – this identification is unique up to a rotation of $\mathbb{S}^1$. Moreover, under this identification the map

$$\pi_H|_{L_{\mathbf{x}}} : L_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \Gamma$$

becomes a fibration $f_{\alpha} : L_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ associated to α – see Remark 1.9. (Indeed, f_{α} can be viewed as the projection $L_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{x}}/H = \mathbb{S}^1$).

The symplectic properties of Γ inside $N_{\mathbf{x}}$ are completely determined by the relative position of the point $\pi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H}(\Gamma)$ in the image of $\Phi_{\mathbb{T}^n/H}$ or, equivalently, by the position of $\mathbf{x}$ in the intersection of the line $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with Δ . Recall that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is defined as the open part of the intersection of the ray $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $0 < t < +\infty$, with Δ . By a basic version of the Delzant theorem [7], the oriented curve Γ (it is oriented as an orbit of $\mathbb{T}^n/H$) is the oriented boundary of a domain D in $N_{\mathbf{x}}$ whose area is the rational length of $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$, if $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is an interval, or $+\infty$, if $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is an infinite ray.

Thus, we can apply Proposition 2.3, which implies that

$$bp_{\mathbf{x}}(k\alpha) \geq l_{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha)/k$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

5 Split Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^n$

5.1 Proof of Proposition 1.14

Claim (A) holds, since any split torus obtained from $T^n(\mathbf{x}) = T^1(x_1) \times \dots \times T^1(x_n)$ by a permutation of the T^1 -factors is Hamiltonian isotopic to $T^n(\mathbf{x})$.

Claim (B) follows easily from the fact that $(p, q) \mapsto \sqrt{c}(p, q)$, $c > 0$, is a conformal symplectomorphism.

Claim (C) follows from the semi-continuity property of bp_L (see (14)) and def_L (see (12)).

Claim (D) follows from the product property of pb_4^+ (see (15)). $\square$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.15

Proof of part (A). The tori $T^n(\mathbf{x})$ are exactly the regular orbits of the standard Hamiltonian $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the regular level sets of its moment map: namely, $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n$ as a map

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i t_1} z_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i t_n} z_n)$$

and the moment map of the action $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ is given by

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\pi|z_1|^2, \dots, \pi|z_n|^2).$$

Then $T^n(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$. The image of Φ is the quadrant $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ formed by the points of $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ all of whose coordinates are non-negative.

For $\alpha = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ one readily sees that $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$, which is the open part of the intersection of the ray $\mathbf{x} - t\alpha$, $0 < t < +\infty$, and Δ , is an infinite ray if and only if all m_i are non-positive. Otherwise, $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ is an interval and its rational length is given by $\min_{i, m_i > 0} x_i/m_i$. By Theorem 1.13, this proves part (A) of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of part (B). The upper bound $def_{\mathbf{x}}(k, \dots, k) \leq x/k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ follows from Theorem 1.10, since $\mathbb{C}^n$ does not admit weakly exact closed Lagrangian submanifolds by a famous result of Gromov [14].

The lower bound $bp_{\mathbf{x}}(k, \dots, k) \geq x/k$ follows immediately from part (A) of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of part (C). Let $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n$ be the standard Darboux coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$ so that $z_j = p_j + iq_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

According to the assumption, $x_i/k > x_{\min}$, that is, $x_i/k > x_j$ for some $j \neq i$. By (7), we may assume without loss of generality, that $i = n, j = 1$, that is, $x_n/k > x_1$. Let us show that $bp_{\mathbf{x}}(ke_n) = +\infty$.

Observe that a circle bounding a round disk of a certain area in $\mathbb{R}^2$ can be mapped by an area-preserving map arbitrarily close to the boundary of a square of the same area. Together with the semi-continuity, product and symplectic invariance properties of pb_4^+ (see Section 2.3) this easily implies that it is enough to prove

$$pb_4^+(X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where the admissible quadruple X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1 is defined as follows: for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ denote by Π_i the boundary of the square $[0, \sqrt{x_i}] \times [0, \sqrt{x_i}] \subset (\mathbb{R}^2(p_i, q_i), dp_i \wedge dq_i)$. For $i = n$ consider the rectangle $[0, x_n] \times [0, 1] \subset (\mathbb{R}^2(p_n, q_n), dp_n \wedge dq_n)$. Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon > x_1. \quad (19)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.11 we choose a partition of $[0, x_n] \times \{1\}$ into $4k-3$ intervals $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{4k-3}$, ordered from right to left, such that for $i \equiv 0, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ the intervals γ_i have length ε , the interval γ_1 has length $\frac{x_n}{k}$ and the remaining intervals have length $\frac{x_n}{k} - 3\varepsilon$. Note that if $k = 1$ then $\gamma_1 = [0, x_n] \times \{1\}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}_0 &= [0, x_n] \times \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & \widehat{X}_1 &= \bigcup_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, \\ \widehat{Y}_0 &= [0, 1] \times \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i, & \widehat{Y}_1 &= [0, 1] \times \{x_n\} \cup \bigcup_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \gamma_i. \end{aligned}$$

We then set

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \widehat{X}_0, \\ X_1 &:= \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \widehat{X}_1, \\ Y_0 &:= \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \widehat{Y}_0, \\ Y_1 &:= \Pi_1 \times \dots \times \Pi_{n-1} \times \widehat{Y}_1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us our admissible quadruple.

Now choose a constant C that satisfies

$$\left(\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1}} > C > \sqrt{x_1}. \quad (20)$$

Such a constant exists by inequality (19). On $\mathbb{R}^2(p_n, q_n)$ we define a piecewise-linear function $G_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies:

- (i) $G_\varepsilon \equiv 0$ on $\widehat{Y}_0$ and $G_\varepsilon \equiv C$ on $\widehat{Y}_1$,
- (ii) G_ε only depends on x .

One can choose G_ε to satisfy $\partial_x G_\varepsilon \leq C/(\frac{x_n}{k} - 3\varepsilon)$ on $\pi(\widehat{X}_1) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\pi(p_n, q_n) = p_n$ is the projection. Therefore there exists a smooth approximation $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is complete, satisfies (i) and (ii) and has slope $\partial_x G < C/(\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon)$ on $\pi(\widehat{X}_1) \times \mathbb{R}$. This implies that all chords of G from $\widehat{X}_0$ to $\widehat{X}_1$ have time-length $T > (\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon)/C$.

Now consider the Hamiltonian $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$H(p, q) := p_1 + G(p_n, q_n).$$

H is complete and satisfies

$$\min_{Y_1} H = C, \quad \max_{Y_0} H = \sqrt{x_1},$$

and thus, by inequality (20),

$$\min_{Y_1} H - \max_{Y_0} H > 0.$$

Again by inequality (20) we have

$$\left(\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon\right) \frac{1}{C} > \sqrt{x_1}.$$

Under the projection $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2(p_n, q_n)$ the chords of H map to the chords of G . All chords of G from $\widehat{X}_0$ to $\widehat{X}_1$ have time-length $T > (\frac{x_n}{k} - 4\varepsilon)/C$. Now under the projection $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2(p_1, q_1)$ the chords of H map to vertical lines. We see that for any $T > \sqrt{x_1}$ the image of $\Pi_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2(p_1, q_1)$ under the Hamiltonian flow at time T does not intersect Π_1 . Thus there are no chords of H from X_0 to X_1 , which, by the dynamical characterization of pb_4^+ , proves (18) and part (C). $\square$

6 Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$

6.1 Proof of Theorem 1.16

The proof below belongs to E. Opshtein.

We now prove that for certain Lagrangian tori $L \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and specific cohomology classes $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ there are upper bounds for the associated Lagrangian isotopies.

Consider a Lagrangian isotopy

$$\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \psi_0 = \iota,$$

such that

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha,$$

with $L \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and α as in the statement of the theorem. By contradiction assume $T \geq \omega(A)/a$ and consider $L_t := \psi_t(L)$. We write A_t, B_t for the images of A, B under the canonical isomorphism $H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L_t) \simeq H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L)$. By assumption the class A_0 is represented by a regular J -holomorphic disk, which we denote by u_0 .

By regularity, we may consider a family $u_t, t \geq 0$, of J -holomorphic disks representing $A_t \in H_2(\mathbb{C}^2, L_t)$. Since we are in dimension 4 there exist no J -holomorphic disks of Maslov index < 0 in a generic family of Lagrangians L_t , and since L is orientable, the Maslov indices of the disks with boundary on L are all even. Therefore Gromov compactness states that the sequence u_t for $t \rightarrow s \in (0, T]$ can either converge to a J -holomorphic disk representing A_s or to a bubbling configuration given by a Maslov-2 disk D_2 and a Maslov-0 disk D_0 with boundary on L_s and such that $A_s = D_2 + D_0$.

Now note that all J -holomorphic Maslov-0 disks with boundary on L_s have symplectic area equal to a positive number which is an integral multiple of $\omega(B_s - kA_s)$, since $\mu(B_s - kA_s) = 0$. By assumption $\omega(B) \geq (1+k)\omega(A)$ and $(1+k)a \geq b$, so we have

$$\omega(B_s - kA_s) - \omega(A_s) = \omega(B) - (1+k)\omega(A) + ((1+k)a - b)s \geq 0.$$

This implies that $\omega(B_s - kA_s) \geq \omega(A_s) > \omega(D_0)$, which is a contradiction. Thus no Maslov-0 disk can bubble off and the family u_t of J -holomorphic disks persists for the isotopy ψ_t .

Now if $t \rightarrow \omega(A)/a$ we have $\omega(A_t) \rightarrow 0$. Thus for $t \rightarrow \omega(A)/a$ the family u_t converges by Gromov compactness to a J -holomorphic disk of symplectic

area 0, which is a constant map. Since u_t represents A_t , this is a contradiction. Therefore $T < \omega(A)/a$. $\square$

6.2 Proof of Theorem 1.19

We calculate lower bounds for the bp -invariants of Chekanov tori Θ_a . The proofs of both parts rely on an increasing sequence of neighborhoods of Θ_a in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We first explain this construction.

Proposition 6.1. *For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ let $D(r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the open symplectic disk of area r and $T_r^*\mathbb{S}^1 \subset T^*\mathbb{S}^1$ be the subset of covectors of norm $< r$ (here we choose the flat metric on $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). Let λ be the standard Liouville form on $T^*\mathbb{S}^1$. Then for every $r > a$ there exists a neighborhood $U(r) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of Θ_a such that $U(r)$ is symplectomorphic to $(D(r) \times T_r^*\mathbb{S}^1, dx \wedge dy + d\lambda)$ and Θ_a is identified with $\partial D(a) \times \{0 - \text{section}\}$.*

Proof. Let η be the round simple closed curve in $\mathbb{C}$ used in the construction of the Chekanov torus Θ_a . It bounds a disk of area a . Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a concentric open symplectic disk that contains η but not the origin. The map $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$\Phi(e^{2\pi it}, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{2\pi it}z, e^{-2\pi it}z)$$

is an embedding and its image contains Θ_a as well as the Chekanov tori $\Theta_{a'}$ for every $0 < a' \leq a$. Denote the image of Φ by N . We see that Φ preserves the symplectic structure on D and that N is a coisotropic submanifold with characteristic foliation generated by the $\mathbb{S}^1$ -action. By the neighborhood theorem for coisotropic submanifolds [13], there exists a neighborhood U of N in $\mathbb{C}^2$ that is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero-section in E^* , where $E \subset TN$ is the characteristic bundle of N . One sees that the characteristic bundle of N is trivial, hence $E^* \simeq N \times \mathbb{R} \simeq D \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Since the disks D are symplectic, the neighborhood U is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood V of $D \times \{0 - \text{section}\}$ in $D \times T^*\mathbb{S}^1$ with its standard split symplectic form $dx \wedge dy + d\lambda$. By choosing a smaller neighborhood U if necessary, we can assume that U is symplectomorphic to $V = D \times T_\varepsilon^*\mathbb{S}^1$ for an $\varepsilon > 0$. We see that $\Theta_a \subset U$ is identified with $\partial D(a) \times \{0 - \text{section}\} \subset V$.

For $c > 0$ the map $\varphi_c : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \sqrt{c}(z_1, z_2)$ is a conformal symplectomorphism. Recall that the neighborhood U contains all

Chekanov tori $\Theta_{a'}$ for $0 < a' \leq a$. Now let $0 < a' < a$ and $c = a/a'$, then the image $\varphi_c(\Theta_{a'})$ is a Lagrangian torus that is Hamiltonian isotopic to Θ_a . The image of the neighborhood, $\varphi_c(U)$, is then a neighborhood of $\varphi_c(\Theta_{a'})$. The neighborhood $\varphi_c(U)$ is symplectomorphic to $V' = D' \times T_{\varepsilon'}^*\mathbb{S}^1$, where $dx \wedge dy(D') = c dx \wedge dy(D)$ and $\varepsilon' = c\varepsilon$ (recall that $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda = p d\theta$ is the standard Liouville form).

We construct the neighborhoods $U(r)$ for $r > a$. Now for a given $r > a$ we choose $a' > 0$ such that for $c = a/a'$ we have $c dx \wedge dy(D) > r$ and $c\varepsilon > r$. Then $D(r) \times T_r^*\mathbb{S}^1 \subset V'$ and this gives us a neighborhood $U(r)$. Since $a' > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, this provides us with a neighborhood $U(r)$ for all $r > a$. The statement follows from this. $\square$

We return to the proof of part (A). For the lower bound $bp_a(m, n) \geq a/m$, following the dynamical characterization of bp_L in Section 1.1.2, we use a neighborhood that we obtain from Proposition 6.1 to construct for any $\varepsilon > 0$ a complete Hamiltonian H that has no chords from X_0 to X_1 of time-length $< \frac{a}{m} - \varepsilon$.

For $m \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ fixed we choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > a$ and $k > C := 4a|n|$. Now let $U(k)$ be a neighborhood of Θ_a as in Proposition 6.1 and we identify $U(k) \simeq D(k) \times T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1$. Recall that Θ_a is mapped to $\partial D(a) \times \{0 - \text{section}\}$ under this identification. Furthermore we identify $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ and write the coordinates of $D(k) \times T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1$ as (x, y, θ, p) .

First we define a partition of $\partial D(a)$. Namely, partition $\mathbb{S}^1$ into $4m$ closed arcs by setting

$$\gamma_j := \left\{ e^{2\pi i \xi} \in \mathbb{S}^1 \mid \xi \in \left[\frac{j-1}{4m}, \frac{j}{4m} \right] \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 4m.$$

Using the identification $\partial D(a) \simeq \mathbb{S}^1$ we consider the γ_j to be arcs of $\partial D(a)$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ denote by $R(\theta) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the rotation by angle $2\pi\theta$. In

$D(k) \times T_k^* \mathbb{S}^1$ we define the four sets,

$$\begin{aligned}
X_0 &= \bigcup_{j \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \{(R(n\theta)(x, y), \theta, 0) \mid (x, y) \in \gamma_j, \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}, \\
X_1 &= \bigcup_{j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \{(R(n\theta)(x, y), \theta, 0) \mid (x, y) \in \gamma_j, \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}, \\
Y_0 &= \bigcup_{j \equiv 0 \pmod{4}} \{(R(n\theta)(x, y), \theta, 0) \mid (x, y) \in \gamma_j, \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}, \\
Y_1 &= \bigcup_{j \equiv 2 \pmod{4}} \{(R(n\theta)(x, y), \theta, 0) \mid (x, y) \in \gamma_j, \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

A brief calculation reveals that under the identification $U(k) \simeq D(k) \times T_k^* \mathbb{S}^1$ the quadruple is mapped to an admissible quadruple associated to the fibration $f_\alpha : \Theta_a \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, where $\alpha = m\hat{\Gamma} - n\hat{\gamma} \in H^1(\Theta_a)$.

Consider now the sector $\mathcal{S} \subset D(k)$ given by

$$\mathcal{S} := D(k) \cap \left\{ r e^{2\pi i \xi} \mid r \geq 0, \xi \in \left[\frac{-1}{8m}, \frac{7}{8m} \right] \right\}.$$

The intersection $\mathcal{S} \cap D(a)$ has area a/m and $\partial \mathcal{S}$ intersects $\partial D(a)$ in the arcs γ_4 and γ_{4m} . We consider a Hamiltonian $G : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following:

- (i) G has compact support in $\text{Int}(\mathcal{S})$,
- (ii) $G \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of $(\gamma_{4m} \cup \gamma_4) \cap \mathcal{S}$,
- (iii) $G \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of γ_2 ,
- (iv) all chords of G from γ_1 to γ_3 have time-length $T > \frac{a}{m} - \varepsilon$,
- (v) on $\mathcal{S} \cap D(a)$ the Euclidean norm of the gradient of G is bounded, $|(\partial_x G, \partial_y G)| \leq \frac{4m}{\sqrt{a}}$.

The existence of such a Hamiltonian follows easily. See Figure 6 for an illustration. Namely, one can choose a piecewise-linear function that satisfies all conditions except (iv) and then find a smooth approximation that satisfies all conditions.

Extend G to the whole disk $D(k)$ such that G is periodic under the rotation $R(1/m)$. Since G has support in $\text{Int}(\mathcal{S})$, this extension is smooth




Figure 6: Hamiltonian chord of G from γ_1 to γ_3 in $\mathcal{S}$.

and has compact support. By abuse of notation we denote the extension by G . Now choose a non-negative function $\beta : T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is constant equal to 1 for $|p| \leq C$ and has compact support in $T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1$.

Define the Hamiltonian $H : D(k) \times T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via

$$H(x, y, \theta, p) = G(R(n\theta)(x, y))\beta(p).$$

By construction H is complete and satisfies $H \equiv 0$ on Y_0 and $H \equiv 1$ on Y_1 . Since $\beta(p)$ is constant in $\{|p| \leq C\}$, we see that $\partial_p H \equiv 0$ in this region. This implies that the Hamiltonian vector field of H in $\{|p| \leq C\}$ is tangent to the fibers $\{\theta = \text{constant}\}$. Thus all Hamiltonian chords of H starting on $\partial D(a) \times \{0\}$ -section and contained in $\{|p| \leq C\}$ will project to the fibers.

Now for $\{|p| \leq C\}$ and $(x, y) \in D(a)$ we estimate the Euclidean norm of the differential,

$$|\partial_\theta H| \leq |(\partial_x G, \partial_y G)| \cdot |n| \cdot |(x, y)| \leq \frac{4m|n|}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

This implies that all Hamiltonian chords of H starting in $\partial D(a) \times \{0\}$ -section remain in the region $\{|p| \leq C\}$ for times $t \in [0, a/m]$ by our choice of C . Therefore any chord of time-length $t \leq a/m$ is contained in the fiber $\{\theta = \text{constant}\}$ and under the projection $D(k) \times T_k^*\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow D(k)$ these chords

project to rotated chords of G . This proves that any chord from X_0 to X_1 must have time-length $T > a/m - \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of part (A).

We prove part (B). We construct a sequence of Hamiltonians that have chords from X_0 to X_1 with time-length increasing to $+\infty$.




Figure 7: Hamiltonian chord of G from γ_3 to γ_1 in $\mathcal{S}$.

We consider the admissible quadruple associated to the fibration $f_\alpha : \Theta_a \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, where $\alpha = m\hat{\Gamma} - n\hat{\gamma}$ with $m < 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following Remark 1.9 we see that if (X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1) is an admissible quadruple for α , then (X_1, X_0, Y_0, Y_1) is an admissible quadruple for $-\alpha$. Thus we may take the admissible quadruple associated to $-m\hat{\Gamma} + n\hat{\gamma}$ as constructed in (21) and interchange X_0 and X_1 to obtain an admissible quadruple associated to $m\hat{\Gamma} - n\hat{\gamma}$.

Following the construction in part (A), we find a Hamiltonian $G : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $G \equiv 0$ on $\gamma_{4m} \cup \gamma_4$ and $G \equiv 1$ on γ_2 and consider its chords from γ_3 to γ_1 , see Figure 7. In our sequence of symplectic neighborhoods we have $dx \wedge dy(D(k)/D(a)) \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence we can choose for all $k > a$ a sequence of Hamiltonians G_k for which the time-length T of chords from γ_3 to γ_1 goes to $+\infty$. Repeating the construction as in part (A) then gives us a sequence of Hamiltonians H_k in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with the desired properties. $\square$

7 Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$

We now prove that for Lagrangian tori L in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ or $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and certain cohomology classes $\alpha \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$ there are upper bounds for $\text{def}_L(\alpha)$.

7.1 Proof of Theorem 1.20

Consider a Lagrangian isotopy

$$\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \psi_0 = \iota,$$

such that

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha.$$

By contradiction assume $T > C$ and consider $L_C := \psi_C(L)$. By the assumption of the theorem, we have $[\omega]_C^\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, L; \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore $\omega(H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, L_C)) \subset \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$. Since L is a torus the group $\omega(H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, L_C))$ has $n+1$ generators $y_1, \dots, y_n, 1$. Denote by $c \in [0, +\infty) \cap \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ its positive generator. However, by a theorem of Cieliebak and Mohnke [6],

$$c \leq \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

We obtain a contradiction and therefore $T < C$. □

7.2 Proof of Theorem 1.23

Consider a Lagrangian isotopy

$$\psi = \{\psi_t : L \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \psi_0 = \iota,$$

such that

$$[\omega]_t^\psi = [\omega]_L - t\partial\alpha.$$

By contradiction assume $T > C$ and consider $L_C := \psi_C(L)$. By the assumption of the theorem we have $[\omega]_C^\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L; \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore $\omega(H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L_C)) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the group $\omega(H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L_C))$ is generated by 1. However, by a theorem of Dimitroglou, Goodmann and Ivrii ([8], Proposition 5.3), for any torus $L \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ the generator c of $\omega(H^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, L))$ satisfies

$$c \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We obtain a contradiction and therefore $T < C$. □

Acknowledgments. We are very grateful to Leonid Polterovich for enlightening discussions, stimulating questions and crucial comments and corrections. We thank Emmanuel Opshtein for useful discussions and for the proof of Theorem 1.16. We also thank Paul Biran, Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell and Yakov Eliashberg for useful conversations and questions related to our work.

References

- [1] Atiyah, M. F., *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*, Bull. LMS **14** (1982), no. 1, 1-15.
- [2] Audin, M., *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, Second revised edition, Progress in Mathematics, 93. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
- [3] Buhovsky, L., Entov, M., Polterovich, L., *Poisson brackets and symplectic invariants*, Selecta Math. **18** (2012), 89-157.
- [4] Chekanov, Y., *Lagrangian tori in a symplectic vector space and global symplectomorphisms*, Math. Z. **223** (1996), no. 4, 547-559.
- [5] Chekanov, Y., Schlenk, F., *Notes on monotone Lagrangian twist tori*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. **17** (2010), 104-121.
- [6] Cieliebak, K., Mohnke, K., *Punctured holomorphic curves and Lagrangian embeddings*, preprint, arXiv:1411.1870, 2014.
- [7] Delzant, T., *Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), 315-339.
- [8] Dimitroglou Rizell, G., Goodman E., Ivrii A., *Lagrangian isotopy of tori in $S^2 \times S^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2$* , preprint, arXiv:1602.08821, (2016).
- [9] Eliashberg, Y., *New invariants of open symplectic and contact manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 513-520.

- [10] Eliashberg, Y., Polterovich, L., *The problem of Lagrangian knots in four-manifolds*, in *Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993)*, 313-327, AMS, Providence, RI, (1997).
- [11] Entov, M., Polterovich, L., *Lagrangian tetragons and instabilities in Hamiltonian dynamics*, preprint, arXiv:1510.01748, 2015.
- [12] Farrell, F. T., *The obstruction to fibering a manifold over a circle*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** 1971/1972, 315-346.
- [13] Gotay, M.J., *On coisotropic imbeddings of presymplectic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1982), 111-114.
- [14] Gromov, M., *Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), 307-347.
- [15] Guillemin, V., Sternberg, S., *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 491-513.
- [16] Kinsey, L.C., *Pseudoisotopies and submersions of a compact manifold to the circle*, Topology **26** (1987), 67-78.
- [17] Latour, F., *Existence de 1-formes fermées non singulières dans une classe de cohomologie de de Rham*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **80** (1994), 135-194 (1995).
- [18] Laudенbach, F., *Submersions sur le cercle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **104** (1976), 417-431.
- [19] Laudенbach, F., Blank, S., *Isotopie de formes fermes en dimension trois*, Invent. Math. **54** (1979), 103-177.
- [20] Lerman, E., Tolman, S., *Hamiltonian torus actions on symplectic orbifolds and toric varieties*, Trans. AMS **349** (1997), no. 10, 4201-4230.
- [21] Moser, J., *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Trans. AMS **120** (1965), 286-294.
- [22] Polterovich, L., *Precise measurements in symplectic topology*. European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Budapest, 1996), 159-166, Progr. Math., 169, Birkhuser, Basel, 1998.

- [23] Polterovich, L., Rosen, D., *Function theory on symplectic manifolds*, AMS, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [24] Samvelyan, K., *Rigidity versus flexibility of the Poisson bracket with respect to the L_p -norm*, preprint, arXiv:1610.00675, 2016.
- [25] Sikorav, J.-C., *Formes différentielles fermées non singulières sur le tore*, Comment. Math. Helv. **57** (1982), no. 1, 79-106.
- [26] Sikorav, J.-C., *Rigidité symplectique dans le cotangent de $\mathbb{T}^n$* , Duke Math. J. 59 (1989), no. 3, 759-763.
- [27] Solomon, J., *The Calabi homomorphism, Lagrangian paths and special Lagrangians*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), 1389-1424.
- [28] Thurston, W.P., *A norm for the homology of 3-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1986), no. 339, i-vi and 99-130.
- [29] Yau, M.-L., *Monodromy and isotopy of monotone Lagrangian tori*, Math. Res. Lett. **16**, (2009), 531-541.

Michael Entov
 Department of Mathematics
 Technion - Israel Institute of Technology
 Haifa 32000, Israel
 entov@math.technion.ac.il

Yaniv Ganor
 School of Mathematical Sciences
 Tel Aviv University
 Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
 yanivgan@post.tau.ac.il

Cedric Membrez
 School of Mathematical Sciences
 Tel Aviv University
 Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
 cmembrez@post.tau.ac.il